

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Thomas Reginald Brooks,
Plaintiff,

VS.
The SOUTH CAROLINA DEPT.
OF CORRECTIONS et al
LEE CORRECTIONAL INST.
et al

DENIED

Terry L. Wooten 12-5-12
DATE
TERRY L. WOOTEN
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Defendants.

RECEIVED
USDC CLERK, FLORENCE, SC

C/A NO. 4:11-CV-03362-TLW
2012 DEC -6 AM 8:15
CLERK, FLORENCE S

2012 AUG 13 A 10:54
MOTION TO NOTIFY THE EXHAUSTION OF Ad-

MINISTRATIVE REMEDIES AND REQUEST TO

Proceed

~~Proceed~~ "in forma pauperis."

HEREIN THE plaintiff informs the Court supported by the following
ad or around date MAY 3rd 2012 at 10:15am the plaintiff attempted to
notify the United States District Court of the ~~exhaustion~~ exhaustion
of administrative remedies which was the reason for the MAG. Judges
decision within the Honorable THOMAS B. RODGERS III, RJR, when
he recommended that the case be dismissed without prejudice and
without issuance and service of process which could cause a statue
of limitations issue if the plaintiff is not allowed to ~~proceed~~ proceed
after meeting the previous status quo, for the delay that was just
and proper with all due respects to the Court.

HEREIN pertaining to Complaint 4:11-cv-03362-TLW, that was
forwarded to the Clerk of Court on May 3rd 2012 at 10:15am con-
tained the plaintiff's attempt to address the court of the department
of Corrections last response with the following grievances, L.C.I.,
~~2372-11, L.C.I., # 2552-11, L.C.I. # 2894-11,~~
and L.C.I. # 0326-12. L.C.I. # 0326-12 has exceeded 70 days from
the step a date O.C.D.C. failed to respond as required under their
policy and therefore the plaintiff has exhausted all admin. remedies
available to him as a matter of law citing Boyd v. Corr. Corp. et al
AM 380 F.3d 987, 996. The plaintiff requests a issuance and
service of process and ~~which~~ wishes to be notified of this process to
introduce further pleadings. Note this legal matter at issue.

Respectfully,
[Signature]
08-02-2012